the normal manner occurred with attack of the reagent from both the β (compound 11, 50% yield) and α (compound 12, 25% yield) sides of the molecule. The major component 11, with the undesired stereochemistry at positions 5 and 6, is convertible to the desired 12 by oxidation and equilibration. The desired alcohol 12, after removal of the ethylene ketal and reduction of the 3-ketone, provides verticine (13) identical in all respects with a sample of the natural alkaloid.

Acknowledgments. Financial aid from the National Research Council of Canada is gratefully acknowledged. We also wish to express our thanks to Professor Sho Ito, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, for generous gifts of verticine, deoxoverticinone, and related samples.

References and Notes

- J. P. Kutney, R. W. Brookes, C. C. Fortes, Y. Murakami, A. Preston, and Y. Ueda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, preceding paper in this issue.
 S. M. Kupchan and A. W. By in "The Alkaloids", Vol. 10, R. H. F. Manske, Ed., Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1968, p 271.
- (3) S. Ito, Y. Fukazawa, T. Okuda, and Y. litaka, Tetrahedron Lett., 5373 (1968).
- K. Schreiber and G. Adam, Tetrahedron, 20, 1707 (1964).
- (5) Satisfactory elemental analyses and high resolution mass measurements were obtained for all new compounds quoted.
- (6) S. Ito, M. Kato, K. Shibata, and T. Nozoe, Chem. Pharm. Bull. Jpn., 9, 253 (1961).
- (7) W. D. Closson, P. Wriede, and S. Bank, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 1581 (1966)

James P. Kutney,* Carlos C. Fortes, Toshio Honda Yasuoki Murakami, Alan Preston, Yoichiro Ueda

Department of Chemistry University of British Columbia Vancouver, British Columbia, V6T 1W5, Canada Received July 13, 1976

The Bridgehead Bicyclo[3.3.3]undecyl (Manxyl) Mono- and Dications¹

Sir:

The intriguing bridge flipping process which interverts "in" and "out" hydrogens in bicyclo[3.3.3] undecane (1) (manxane) has recently been shown to require about 11 kcal/mol of free energy of activation.^{2,3} Similar observations also have been extended to 1-azabicyclo[3.3.3]undecane (2) (manxine) and its salt 3.4 The enhanced rate of 1-chloromanxane (4) (10⁴



greater than that of the tert-butyl chloride)⁵ in solvolytic reactions reflects the great stability of carbocation 5. According to empirical force field calculations.^{3b,6} the rate enhancement of 4 is due largely to the relief of angle strain during ionization. The flattening at the bridgehead, at which a trigonal, planar atom is readily accommodated,⁷ reduces the repulsive nonbonded interactions involving the C(3), C(7), and C(10)methylene groups. The calculations also show that in going from the hydrocarbon 1 to the monocation 5, the C(1)...C(5)nonbonded separation diminishes from 3.322 to 2.990 Å. On this basis C(1)...C(5) distance in dication 6 would be expected to be even shorter. Having prepared previously the pseudoaromatic 1,4-bicyclo[2.2.2]octyl dication $(7),^8$ we



wish to report now the preparation of the stable 1-bicyclo-[3.3.3] undecyl cation (5) and 1,5-bicyclo[3.3.3] undecyl dication (6) and their spectroscopic study.

Addition of solutions of 1-chloro- (4) and 1-hydroxybicyclo[3.3.3]undecane (8) in sulfuryl chloride fluoride to a solution of SbF_5 in the same solvent at -78 °C resulted in the formation of the same carbocationic species whose 'H NMR spectrum (Figure 1A) shows three deshielded absorptions at δ 4.15 (t, 6 H), 3.20 (br, 7 H), and 2.19 (b, 6 H) corresponding



to the expected manxyl monocation 5. The structure of 5 is further confirmed by its FT ¹³C NMR spectrum (Figure 1B) which consists of *five* carbon resonances⁹ at δ_{13C} 356.3 (s), 57.9 $(t, J_{CH} = 134.3 \text{ Hz}), 37.0 (t, J_{CH} = 126.1 \text{ Hz}), 32.7 (t, J_{CH})$ = 123.2 Hz), and 35.7 (d, J_{CH} = 120 Hz), which are assigned to C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4), and C(5), respectively. The solution of 5 does not show appreciable change between -135 and -30°C, and it slowly decomposes at high temperatures.

The temperature-independent behavior of the manxyl monocation 5 seems to suggest either a rapid ring flipping process with a lower energy barrier than that of the parent hydrocarbon $1^{2,4}$ (faster than can be detected on the NMR time scale) or a very slow inversion of conformation due to the introduction of sp^2 hybridized carbon at C(1) causing additional strain to raise the energy barrier so that the ring flipping process is not detectable at the highest attainable temperature (-30 °C)before the ion starts to decompose. Either behavior is surprising in comparison with that exhibited by 1 and by 6.

The bridgehead manxyl dication 6 was prepared from 1,5-dichloromanxane (9)¹⁰ in SbF₅-SO₂ClF solution at -78°C. 6 was found stable below -50 °C. It is found to be in conformation equilibrium at -60° as indicated by the ¹H NMR spectrum, which consists of two broad absorptions at δ 4.50 and 3.50 in a ratio of 2:1. The process, however, is *frozen* out at -80 °C, as shown in the 100-MHz spectrum observed at this temperature (Figure 1C), indicating the non-equivalency of the six β -methylene protons, being resolved into two sets of broad absorptions centered at δ 3.70 and 3.30 in a ratio of 1:1. The 12-proton α -methylene absorption apparently is not affected. The natural abundance FT ¹³C NMR (proton noise decoupled, Figure 1D) spectrum of 6 is in accordance with the proton NMR study. At -85 °C, there are three carbon resonances at δ_{13C} 346.2 (s), 58.7 (t, $J_{CH} = 137.2 \text{ Hz}$), and



Figure 1. (A) ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 in SbF₅-SO₂ClF at -80 °C. (B) Proton noise decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum of 4. (C) Temperature dependent ¹H NMR (100 MHz) spectra of 5 in SbF₅-SO₂ClF. (D) Proton noise decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum of 5. (E) Proton coupled ¹³C NMR spectrum of 5.

23.5 (d-d, $J_{CH} = 148.5$ and 148.7 Hz), which are assigned to C(1), C(2), and C(3), respectively, according to the proton coupled ¹³C NMR spectrum (Figure 1E).

The direct observation of a doublet of doublets for the methylene carbons (C(3), C(7), and C(10)) and the resolution of methylene protons seems to indicate that the bridge flipping process has been frozen out at this temperature $(-85 \, ^{\circ}C)$, which is very close to that previously reported for the parent hydrocarbon 1 (-80 °C).^{2b} The energy barrier for the interconversion of "in" and "out" hydrogens is therefore of similar magnitude for both the hydrocarbon and its dication. The geometries of both 1 and 6 might be very similar. The $C_1 \cdots C_5$ distance, instead of being shortened, might be comparable in 1 to 6 due to the unfavorable electrostatic repulsion between the two cationic centers. As seen from the nmr data, the bridgehead carbons C_1 and C_5 in 6, instead of being further deshielded, are ca. 10 ppm shielded relative to C_1 of the monocation 5. The chemical shift of C_3 in 6 is also upfield from the corresponding signal of 5. The presence of one or two carbocation centers in the manxyl system must generate subtle and complex conformational variations, as is borne out by the energy barrier for ring flipping in the monocation 5 which

differs from both the dication 6 and the hydrocarbon 1.

The flattening of the bridgehead carbon C(1) in the monocation 5 does not seem to affect the conformation of the second bridgehead carbon C(5) significantly in view of its small coupling constant J_{CH} (120 Hz). J_{CH} was estimated to be 121 \pm 5 Hz for the hydrochloride 3.^{4a}Carbon shifts of the carbocationic centers for both 4 and 5 are further deshielded from those of the *tert*-butyl (329), 1,4-bicyclo[2.2.2]octyl (308),⁸ 1-bicyclo[3.2.2]nonyl (322),¹¹ 1-adamantyl¹² (299.8), and 3-homoadamantyl¹² (304.7) cations. The extremely short bridgehead-bridgehead distance (calculated, 1.99 Å) in 7 arises from the hyperconjugative electron donation to the cationic centers, which then participate in symmetry-allowed 1,4 bonding. Such hyperconjugative interaction seems to contribute little in the case of manxyl dication 6.

Acknowledgment. Support by the National Science Foundation and the Science Research Council is acknowledged.

References and Notes

 Stable Carbocations. 205. Part 204. G. A. Olah, G. K. S. Prakash, and G. Liang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.

- (2) (a) J. C. Coll, D. R. Crist, M. del C. G. Barrio, and N. J. Leonard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 7092 (1972); (b) M. Doyle, W. Parker, P. A. Gunn, J. Martin, and D. D. MacNicol, Tetrahedron Lett., 3619 (1970).
- (3) (a) Empirical force field calculations indicate a 9.9 kcal/mol barrier for the lowest bridge flipping process involving three successive single ring flips; (b) L. W. K. Chang, Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton University, 1977; (c) also see E. M. Engler, J. D. Andose, and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 8005 (1973).
- (4) (a) N. L. Leonard, J. C. Coll, A. H-J. Wang, R. J. Missavage, and I. C. Paul, Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 4628 (1971); (b) N. L. Leonard and J. C. Coll, in 92, 6658 (1970); 93, 287 (1971); (c) A. H. J. Wang, R. J. Missavage, S. R. Byrn, and I. C. Paul, *ibid.*, **94**, 7100 (1972). (5) W. Parker, R. L. Tranter, C. I. F. Watt, L. W. K. Chang, and P. v. R. Schleyer,
- J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 7121 (1974). See also R. C. Bingham and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 3189 (6)
- (1971) (7) D. H. Aue, H. M. Webb, and M. T. Bowers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 4136
- (1975). (8)
- G. A. Olah, G. Liang, P. v. R. Schleyer, E. M. Engler, M. J. S. Dewar, and R. C. Bingham, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 6829 (1973). (9) Both proton and carbon-13 NMR shifts are reported in parts per million from external Me₄Si (capillary) signal. Multiplicities and coupling constants were
- obtained with the aid of proton coupled spectra. (10) The dichloride $\mathbf{8}$ (X = CI) is prepared just before use from diol $\mathbf{8}$ (X = OH) and thionyl chloride (in dry chloroform). The ionization of diol in SbF_-SO₂CIF or FSO₃H-SbF₅-SO₂CIF solutions only provides the 5-hydroxy-1-manxyl monocation, which does not further ionize to give the dication 5. Ion 5 slowly rearranges at -45 °C to give a species whose structure is under further investigation.
- (11) G. A. Olah, G. Liang, J. R. Wiseman, and J. A. Chong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 4927 (1972)
- (12) G. A. Olah and G. Liang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 194 (1973).

George A. Olah,* Gao Liang

Department of Chemistry, Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Paul v. R. Schleyer

Department of Chemistry, University Erlangen-Nurnberg Erlangen, Germany

William Parker, C. Ian F. Watt

Department of Chemistry, University of Stirling Stirling, Scotland Received August 23, 1976

Quantitative Dealkylation of Alkyl Esters via Treatment with Trimethylsilyl Iodide. A New Method for Ester Hydrolysis

Sir:

The hydrolysis of alkyl carboxylic esters is usually carried out under acidic or basic conditions. Recently, several procedures have been developed which allow for hydrolysis under neutral conditions.¹ However, these procedures usually require the use of strong nucleophiles and high temperatures to effect dealkylation. We wish to report a very mild and extremely efficient alternative to the above methods, which proceeds in essentially quantitative yield under neutral conditions. It involves the treatment of alkyl carboxylic esters with trimethylsilyl iodide²⁻⁴ followed by aqueous hydrolysis.

When a carboxylic ester 1 is mixed with trimethylsilyl iodide 2 in an aprotic solvent, e.g., carbon tetrachloride or deuteriochloroform, and the solution is warmed to 50 °C, a clean and efficient dealkylation occurs resulting in the formation of the trimethylsilyl carboxylate 3 and the alkyl iodide 4. Aqueous hydrolysis of the silvl ester affords the acid 5 in essentially quantitative yield and presumably hexamethyldisiloxane 6. For example, when a series of methyl esters 7a-l is treated with



trimethylsilvl iodide 2 in CCl₄ at 50 °C, the corresponding silvl esters 8a-l and methyl iodide 9 are produced in quantitative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{RCO}_{2}\mathrm{Me} \ + \ \mathrm{Me}_{3}\mathrm{SiI} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CCI}_{4}} & \mathrm{RCO}_{2}\mathrm{SiMe}_{3} \ + \ \mathrm{MeI} \\ \mathbf{7a-l} & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{8a-l} & \mathbf{9} \end{array}$$

yields. The time required for the conversion varies depending on the ester and ranges from 4 h for methyl cinnamate 7e to 35 h for methyl benzoate 7b. A variety of other alkyl esters (ethyl, isopropyl, tert-butyl, benzyl, etc.) 7m-y can also be dealkylated in quantitative yield by this process. The results for a series of esters are listed in Table I.

We have investigated the effect of this dealkylation-hydrolysis technique upon other functionality in various ester substrates and have found it stable to a wide range of functional groups. For example, molecules containing isolated double bonds (e.g., methyl oleate 7f and methyl linoleate 7g), ketones (7i), aromatic ethers (7j), thioethers (7k), amines (7l and 7r), and amides (7s) can all be converted into the corresponding acids in high yield without significant destruction of the additional functionality. However, Me₃SiI rapidly converts dialkyl ethers into alkyl silyl ethers (and thus by hydrolysis into alcohols),⁵ transforms alcohols into iodides,⁶ and hydrolyzes some ketals to the corresponding ketones⁷ in high yields so that these functionalities are not compatible with this ester hydrolysis technique. Further studies with more functionalized molecules are currently underway to determine the effect of Me₃SiI on other functionality.

The possibility that the reactions we observe could be due entirely to small amounts of HI present in the Me₃Sil cannot be totally discounted. However, in the presence of 10-15 mole % pyridine, ester dealkylation does occur, although at a somewhat slower rate. This leads us to believe that Me₃SiI itself is causing dealkylation.

The possibility of selectivity between various alkyl esters toward this dealkylative hydrolysis procedure prompted us to investigate the reaction of a series of alkyl acetates and alkyl benzoates with differing alkyl groups (7a, b, m, n, t-y) under our conditions. From the data in Table I, it is obvious that tert-butyl esters and benzyl esters are rapidly dealkylated at 25 °C while with methyl, ethyl, and isopropyl esters dealkylation is very slow at 25 °C but proceeds at a moderate rate at 50 °C.8 This would imply that tert-butyl and benzyl esters could be hydrolyzed selectively by this technique in the presence of the other alkyl esters, but that there would be essentially no selectivity between methyl, ethyl, and isopropyl esters at 50 °C.

We suggest a rather straightforward mechanism for this process: the ester 1 reacts with trimethylsilyl iodide 2 in a fast and reversible step to produce the silvlated ester iodide salt 10 which can then go on to products (3 and 4) in a slow, irreversible process by either an $S_N 2$ mechanism (R' = Me, Et) or an $S_N l$ ($S_N i$) mechanism (R' = t-Bu, CH_2Ph). Further mechanistic discussion will await the full paper.

We have also made the interesting observation that when